

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JAMES JACKSON, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

FENWAY PARTNERS, LLC; LAURA
HENDRICKS; GEORGE MANEY; and
DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

FENWAY PARTNERS, LLC; LAURA
HENDRICKS; and GEORGE MANEY,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

v.

COACH AM GROUP HOLDINGS CORP.,
et al.,

Third-Party Defendants.

Case No. C 13-00005 JSW

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER PER
STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF
TIME FOR THIRD-PARTY
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THIRD-
PARTY COMPLAINT**

Courtroom: 11

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White


1 Having considered the stipulation between Defendants and third-party plaintiffs Fenway
2 Partners LLC, Laura Hendricks, and George Maney (“Defendants”) and third-party defendants
3 Coach Am Group Holdings Corp. *et al.* (“Third-Party Defendants”) regarding an extension of
4 time for Third-Party Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Third-Party Complaint,
5 and good cause appearing,

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, per the parties’ stipulation, as follows:

- 7 I. The deadline for Third-Party Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the
8 Third-Party Complaint is hereby extended until 14 days after this Court’s
9 disposition of the Defendants’ pending Motion to Transfer Venue, if the Motion to
10 Transfer Venue is denied and this action remains in this District. If the Motion to
11 Transfer Venue is granted, this action would be removed from the Court’s
12 jurisdiction and transferred to the District of Delaware, where the scheduling of the
13 action, including the timing of any responsive pleading, shall be coordinated with
14 the ongoing proceedings before the Bankruptcy Court in that District by order of
15 the transferee court.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: February 6, 2013

20 
Honorable Jeffrey S. White